

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,
9 Plaintiff,
10 v.
11 JOHN DOE SUBSCRIBER ASSIGNED IP
12 ADDRESS 75.50.82.151,
13 Defendant.

Case No. 23-cv-03083-VKD

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 **ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EX
PARTE APPLICATION TO SERVE
SUBPOENA**

Re: Dkt. No. 8

Plaintiff Strike 3 Holdings, LLC (“Strike 3”) seeks leave to serve a third-party subpoena on AT&T Internet (“AT&T”) prior to a Rule 26(f) conference. Dkt. No. 8.

Strike 3 has established that good cause exists for it to serve a third-party subpoena on AT&T, the above-referenced subscriber’s internet service provider. *See Gillespie v. Civiletti*, 629 F.2d 637, 642 (9th Cir. 1980); *UMG Recording, Inc. v. Doe*, No. C08-1193 SBA, 2008 WL 4104214, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 3, 2008). Strike 3 has demonstrated that (1) it can identify the defendant with sufficient specificity such that the Court can determine that the defendant is a real person or entity who could be sued in federal court, (2) it has identified previous steps taken to locate the elusive defendant, (3) its action against the defendant could withstand a motion to dismiss, and (4) there is a reasonable likelihood of being able to identify the defendant through discovery such that service of process would be possible. *See Columbia Ins. Co. v. Seescandy.com*, 185 F.R.D. 573, 578–80 (N.D. Cal. 1999). Once Strike 3 learns the defendant’s identity, it cannot rely on a bare allegation that a defendant is the registered subscriber of an internet protocol address associated with infringing activity to state a plausible claim for direct or contributory copyright infringement. *Cobbler Nevada, LLC v. Gonzales*, 901 F.3d 1142, 1144

1 (9th Cir. 2018). However, at this stage of these proceedings, and upon the record presented, Strike
2 3 properly may serve discovery to ascertain the defendant's identity. *See generally Glacier Films*
3 (*USA*), *Inc. v. Turchin*, 896 F.3d 1033, 1036, 1038 (9th Cir. 2018) (observing that the district
4 court's case management order permitting "limited discovery from an Internet Service Provider to
5 establish a potential infringer's identity" was "a sensible way to manage its dockets").

6 Accordingly, the Court grants Strike 3's application and orders as follows:

7 1. Strike 3 may serve a Rule 45 subpoena on AT&T commanding AT&T to provide
8 Strike 3 with the true name and address of the subscriber to whom AT&T assigned IP address
9 75.50.82.151. Strike 3 shall attach a copy of this order to any such subpoena.

10 2. AT&T shall serve a copy of the subpoena and a copy of this order on the subscriber
11 within 30 days of the date of service of the subpoena on AT&T. AT&T may serve the subscriber
12 using any reasonable means, including written notice sent to the subscriber's last known address,
13 transmitted either by first-class mail or via overnight service.

14 3. Strike 3 may only use the information disclosed in response to a Rule 45 subpoena
15 served on AT&T for the purpose of protecting and enforcing Strike 3's rights as set forth in its
16 complaint.

17 4. AT&T may object to the Rule 45 subpoena, and may also seek a protective order.
18 If AT&T produces information regarding the identity of the subscriber to Strike 3, Strike 3
19 shall not publicly disclose that information absent consent of the subscriber or leave of court.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: July 26, 2023

22
23
24 
VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI
United States Magistrate Judge